1 2 3 4 5	TINA WOLFSON (174806) twolfson@ahdootwolfson.com BRADLEY K. KING (274399) bking@ahdootwolfson.com AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC 2600 West Olive Avenue, Suite 500 Burbank, CA 91505 Telephone: (310) 474-9111 Facsimile: (310) 474-8585		
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BENJAMIN F. JOHNS (pro hac vice) bfj@chimicles.com BEENA M. MCDONALD (pro hac vice) bmm@chimicles.com CHIMICLES SCHWARTZ KRINER & DONALDSON-SMITH LLP One Haverford Centre 361 West Lancaster Avenue Haverford, PA 19041 Telephone: (610) 642-8500 Facsimile: (610) 649-3633	RACHELE R. BYRD (190634) byrd@whafh.com BRITTANY N. DEJONG (258766) dejong@whafh.com WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 750 B Street, Suite 1820 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 239-4599 Facsimile: (619) 234-4599	
13 14	Counsel for Plaintiffs SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	KYNDAL CHRISTOFFERSON, NATALIE GERACE, AND ERIN RATELLE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. CREATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., Defendant.) Case No. 19STCV11000) DECLARATION OF TINA WOLFSON) IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS') MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF) ATTORNEYS' FEES,) REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES) AND REPRESENTATIVE) PLAINTIFFS' AWARD) DATE: June 24, 2021) TIME: 9:00 a.m.) JUDGE: Hon. Elihu M. Berle) DEPT.: 6	
26			

I, Tina Wolfson, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California and am a founding partner of Ahdoot & Wolfson, PC ("Ahdoot & Wolfson"). Ahdoot & Wolfson, Chimicles Schwartz Kriner & Donaldson-Smith LLP ("CSKD"), and Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP ("Wolf Haldenstein") (collectively, "Class Counsel") represent Plaintiffs Kyndal Christofferson, Natalie Gerace, and Erin Ratelle (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and the Class in this action against Defendant Creation Entertainment, Inc. ("Creation"). I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses and Representative Plaintiffs' Award. I have been involved in the pending case since its inception. The following facts are based upon my personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, I could, and would, competently testify thereto.
- 2. The Settlement Agreement provides that Class Counsel will apply for attorneys' fees and expenses not to exceed 33% of the Settlement Fund, plus reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses up to \$20,000. Class Counsel request an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$313,500, plus reimbursement of their actual out-of-pocket costs in the amount of \$19,871.08. Class Counsel's total lodestar for all three firms is \$617,971. Therefore, the requested fee award represents a negative multiplier of 0.51. Before compiling the information below, I reviewed my firm's billing entries and expense information, and eliminated any expense or time entry that, based upon our billing judgment, could be viewed as potentially unnecessary or redundant. Class Counsel's request is reasonable under either the percentage-of-the-recovery or lodestar method.
- 3. For the past two years, Class Counsel have devoted substantial attorney time and outof-pocket expense to develop and prosecute this litigation to a successful conclusion against a
 defendant represented by experienced defense counsel. This litigation was undertaken by Class
 Counsel on a wholly contingent basis. From the outset, Class Counsel understood that they were
 embarking on an intensive, complex and expensive litigation with no guarantee of ever being
 compensated for the substantial investment of time and money the case required. In undertaking the

Unless otherwise noted, capitalized terms have the meaning ascribed to them in the First Amended Settlement Agreement and Release dated January 15, 2021 (the "Settlement").

responsibility, Class Counsel were obligated to assure that sufficient attorney resources were dedicated to the prosecution of this litigation and that funds were available to compensate staff and pay for out-of-pocket expenses. There are numerous cases where plaintiffs' counsel in contingent cases such as this, after the investment of thousands of hours, received no compensation. It is only because defendants and their counsel know that the leading members of the plaintiffs' class action bar are actually prepared to, and will, force a resolution on the merits and go to trial that meaningful settlements in actions such as this can occur.

- 4. When Class Counsel undertook to act for the Plaintiffs in this matter, we were aware that the only way we would be compensated was to achieve a successful result. The benefits conferred on Plaintiffs and the Class by this Settlement are particularly noteworthy in that, despite the existence of the substantial risks presented here, the Class Members obtained via the Settlement a substantial monetary benefit.
- 5. I have significant and extensive litigation experience in my nearly 27 years of practice and have been involved in class action litigation since I founded Ahdoot & Wolfson in 1998 with my partner, Robert Ahdoot.
- 6. The work done by my firm in this case includes, among other things: initial factual investigation; conducting legal research regarding Plaintiffs' potential claims; drafting pleadings; drafting and responding to discovery and related meet and confer efforts; corresponding with counsel for Creation, including initial resolution discussions; attending key court hearings; attending the settlement mediation; preparing and revising the Settlement Agreement and Release, First Amended Settlement Agreement and Release, and the Settlement-related briefs and supporting documents; and working with the Claims Administrator to finalize and disseminate notice.
- 7. My firm kept detailed records regarding the amount of time its attorneys and paralegals spent on this litigation, and the lodestar calculation is based on my firm's current billing rates. The information was prepared from contemporaneous, daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm.
- 8. In summary, the total number of hours spent by Ahdoot & Wolfson rendering services through April 30, 2021 were 333.8, multiplied by the current hourly rates of the attorneys and other

professionals equals a lodestar of \$213,220.00. These hours are broken down as follows:

(P=Partner; A=Associate)

Attorneys	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
Tina Wolfson (P)	67.4	\$950	\$64,030.00
Theodore W. Maya (P)	44.9	\$850	\$38,165.00
Bradley K. King (P)	92.9	\$700	\$65,030.00
Andrew W. Ferich (P)	2.1	\$700	\$1,470.00
Ruhandy Glezakos (A)	65.8	\$450	\$29,610.00
Total Attorneys	273.1		\$198,305.00

Paraprofessionals	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
Kathryn Cabrera	3.7	\$250	\$925.00
Samantha Benson	8.5	\$250	\$2,125.00
Jessielle Fabian	43.3	\$250	\$10,825.00
Catherine Santos	5.2	\$200	\$1,040.00
Total Paraprofessionals	60.7		\$14,915.00

TOTAL TIME	333.8	\$213,220.00
------------	-------	--------------

- 9. In my judgment and based on my decades of experience in class action litigation and other litigation, the number of hours expended, and the services performed by my firm, were reasonable and necessary for my firm's representation of Plaintiffs and the Class.
- 10. I have general familiarity with the range of hourly rates typically charged by plaintiffs' class action counsel in the geographical area where my firm practices and throughout the United States, both on a current basis and historically. From that basis, I am able to conclude that the rates charged by my firm are within the range of market rates charged by attorneys and professional staff of equivalent experience, skill, and expertise for legal services furnished in complex contingency class action litigation such as this.
- 11. Attached as Exhibit 4 to my joint declaration with Benjamin F. Johns and Rachel R. Byrd in support of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval of the settlement (filed January 15, 2021) is my firm's *curriculum vitae*, which included biographies of attorneys in the firm and our firm's extensive experience with class actions in general and data breach class actions in particular. I believe that the skill and experience described therein justify the rates charged. The rates reflect the

risk undertaken due to contingency representation of the Plaintiffs given that the firm bore the risk of no payment at all for its services during this litigation. Our rates have been approved by other courts as reasonable for contingency representations similar to that here. See, e.g., Eck, et al. v. City of Los Angeles, No. BC577028 (Los Angeles Superior Court ("LASC") (February 2018) (\$295 million finally approved settlement where the Court awarded Class Counsel's full request of approximately \$15 million based on percentage of the fund method and commensurate hourly rates); Lavinsky v. City of Los Angeles, No. BC542245 (LASC) (October 2019) (\$51 million minimum value finally approved settlement where the Court awarded Class Counsel's full request of approximately \$8 million based on percentage of the fund method and commensurate hourly rates); Pantelyat v. Bank of America, No. 1:16-cv-08964 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 31, 2019) (Dkt. 116; \$22 million finally approved settlement where the Court awarded Class Counsel's full request of \$5.5 million based on percentage of the fund method and commensurate hourly rates); Williamson, et al. vs. McAfee, Inc., Case No. 5:14-cv-00158-EJD (N.D. Cal. Feb. 15, 2017) (Dkt. 118; \$85 Million settlement in deceptive auto renewal case).

- 12. My firm expended a significant amount of time litigating this case and securing the Settlement for the Class. I took meaningful steps to ensure the efficiency of our work and to avoid duplication of efforts. If the Court deems it necessary, I will make our contemporaneous billing records available, for *in camera review*, upon request. These amounts do not include the additional time that my firm has spent and will have to spend going forward in obtaining final approval of the Settlement, supervising the claims administrative process, and additional work on any appeal if necessary.
- 13. To date, no Settlement Class member has objected to the requested attorneys' fees, the Representative Plaintiffs' Award, or to the Settlement on any other grounds). To date, only one Settlement Class Member has requested to be excluded from the Settlement. The objection and optout deadlines are not until May 24, 2021. Plaintiffs will address any objections to the Settlement and report the total opt-outs in their supplemental brief due on June 10, 2021.
- 14. Class Counsel also request reimbursement for reasonable expenses incurred in litigating this matter totaling \$19,871.08. The time described above does not include charges for

expense items. Expense items are billed separately, and such charges are not duplicated in my firm's billing rates. Based upon my firm records, Ahdoot & Wolfson incurred \$4,855.97 in expenses. These costs were necessary to the investigation, prosecution, and settlement of this Action. The breakdown of the expenses incurred by Ahdoot & Wolfson is as follows:

Court Reporter/Transcript Costs	\$0.00
Online Research	\$35.20
Reproduction/Duplication	\$25.80
Telephone	\$0.00
Postage/Mailings	\$9.00
Travel	\$237.77
Service of Process/Attorney Service Fees	\$1,114.45
Expert/Witness Fees	\$0.00
Professional Services (Experts, Mediation)	\$1,498.75
Filing Fees	\$1,935.00
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$4,855.97

- 15. The expenses incurred in this action are reflected on the books and records of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records, and other source materials and are an accurate record of the expenses incurred.
- 16. Class Counsel have a fee sharing agreement and have obtained each of the Plaintiffs' informed, written acknowledgement and consent to the agreement.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 7th day of May, 2021, at Burbank, California.